

How to read a research paper?
Reasons to read a Scientific Paper
Questions to Ask before selecting a Journal
Finding the right journal to publish in.
How to create your Academic profile

How to Read a Research Paper (RRP)?

Questions to ask while reading a research paper

It is important that you learn to read research papers critically, so here are some questions to ask yourself as you read and some tips on reading:

- What problem(s) are they solving? Why are these problems important?
- What did they really do? (as opposed to what the authors say or imply, they did)
- What is the contribution of the work? (i.e. what is interesting or new?)
- What methods are they using?
- Would you have solved the problem differently?
- What where interest the in Dirab the fit dog at her the given by dut to do?

File

Reasons to read a paper

- You were told to
- Describes current research
- Allows you to replicate/extend the results
- Provides you with useful data
- Gives you "pre-digested" thoughts
- To decide whether to publish it

Teaches you how to write.



Reading "mechanics"

- Remove distractions (Red Sox or paper pick one)
- Take notes & save notes for future reference
- Jump around through the text, don't just read it like a Harry Potter book

Types of papers

- Theoretical
 - prove theorems
 - describe new algorithms
- Implementation
 - describe new software tools
- Experimental
 - describe results of experiments
- Survey/Review
 - review current results in a field of research



Types of papers/references

- Primary
 - actual description of the work/results reported
- Secondary
 - describe work/results of others
 - e.g. background section in most papers
 - survey papers
 - encyclopedias (e.g. Wikipedia)

Venue

- First things first: Where was the paper published?
- If the work is similar to what you do, this should give you ideas about which journals/conferences you should target with your own work
- Over time, you'll learn to evaluate journal/conference quality based on the quality of papers you read.

HOW READ Scientific Paper





Does your professor just want to ruin your life?

Or is there a good reason to read the literature?



How to make reading scientific papers as painless as possible.

From Soup to Nuts

It's Current!



Textbooks can be years out of date by the time they are published. Journals tell you what is happening...

RIGHT NOW!

It's Current!

It Can Be Replicated!



Popular articles and books give you general information and results. Scholarly journals give you enough information that you could do the experiment yourself.

You can verify the research to see if you get the same results.

It's Current! It Can Be Replicated!

It Has Actual Data!



If you need to know **exact results or properties** for your own research...

Articles include actual data, uncertainties, conditions of the experiment, and much more.

It's Current! It Can Be Replicated! It Has Actual Data! You Can Evaluate The Conclusions!



Do You Believe It... Or Not?

Articles provide the authors' explanation of their results and conclusions. You can see their assumptions and determine whether you believe them or not.

Why Read? So, There You Have It...

Current It's the most up to date stuff

Has Raw Data Save time – use their results

Replicable

I can redo the experiment myself

Shows Logic Do you believe it... or not?

All the Reasons to Read Scientific Papers



How to make reading scientific papers as painless as possible.

From Soup to Nuts



Don't Read Straight Through!



It's like walking through quicksand!

Before you read, you need the right equipment....



A Scientific Dictionary:

- Look up terms you don't know.
- Try <u>www.AccessScience.com</u>, for an online dictionary.



Your handy-dandy notebook:

Make notes so you'll remember your insights.



0

Your friends and colleagues:

 Explaining to others will help you understand the paper yourself.

How To Read... Okay, all packed?

6



Okay, let's start our trip to understanding scientific papers!

In this section, we will read sections of a paper in the order that makes for **faster**, **more efficient comprehension** than reading the paper straight through.





Abstract

Are All Apples Red?

Abstract: We examined several apples' color. Although most are red, some are not.

Abstract: Tells you briefly what experiment was done and what was found.

Question: What specific results are mentioned? Are they relevant?

Discussion

Abstract

Are All Apples Red? by Ida Cortland

yellow apple and two green apples, it must be true that all apples are not red. We concur with G.

Since we found one

Discussion:

smith's findings.

Figure 1

```
Discussion:
```

Discussion: Summarizes important results, gives reasons for conclusions based on results.

Question: Do you agree with the logic of the conclusions? Are these results useful to you?

Are All Apples Red? DV. Ida Cortland

Abstract: XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX Introduction Methods:

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Introduction: An age-old question is: are all apples red? Macintosh (1993) thought so. G. Smith (1999) begs to differ. We hope to resolve this issue once and for all. XXXXXXX XXXXXXXXX References: OCKNOCCONKNOCCOCCO

Introduction: Explains motivation and importance of research, provides background information.

Dise

XXX

XXXXX

Question: Do you understand background info? Do you need to look up references for more info?

Introduction Discussion Abstract

Results Introduction Discussion Abstract Are All Apples Red?

Abstract:

Results: Provides the **raw data** you might need for your own research. **Figures and tables** provide the data in a compact format for **easy viewing**.

Question: For figures, do you understand what the axes mean? What units are used? Does the curve make sense?

Results:

We found four red apples, one green apple, and two yellow apples. See Figure 1.

10'05'

Results Introduction Discussion Abstract Are All Apples Red? by Ida Cortland

Abstract:



Congratulations!

You've reached an understanding of the paper. You can see whether the paper is relevant to your work and know where the data and conclusions are hidden.



Everything you ever wanted to know... why it's there and what it's good for.

The Scientific Paper Exposed

Anatomy of a Scientific Paper

Are All Apples Red? by Ida Cortland

Abstract:

We examined several apples' color. Although most are red, some are not. Introduction:

An age-old question is: are all apples red? Macintosh (1993) thought so. G. Smith (1999) begs to differ. We hope to resolve this issue once and for all.

Methods:

We went to the local grocery store and bought one of every apple they had. We took them home and looked at them.

Results:

We found four red apples, one green apple, and two yellow apples. See Figure

0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Figure 1

Discussion:

Since we found one yellow apple and two green apples, it must be true that all apples are not red. We concur with G. Smith's findings.

References:

Macintosh (1993) Journal of Fruit Science. 4(3): 121-135.

Smith, G. (1999) Apple Technology Today. 7(3): 4-8.

The Abstract

Gives you a **brief overview** of what the paper is all about.

Explains **why** the authors did the experiment, **how** they did it, and **what they found out**.

Abstract:

We examined several apples' color. Although most are red, some are not.

Ask yourself, are the findings relevant to the question you have?



It's very important to read abstracts to help you decide whether to read the whole paper or not.

Abstracts are **available in many indexes** to the journal literature, so you don't even need to find the actual article to determine whether it might be interesting to read.

The Introduction

Provides the motivation for doing the experiment, explaining '**Why did they bother**'?

Introduction:

An age-old question is: are all apples red? Macintosh (1993) thought so. G. Smith (1999) begs to differ. We hope to resolve this issue once and for all.

It explains prior research, and what the accepted understanding of the field is.

In this case, there is a dispute between Macintosh and Smith, and this paper seeks to settle the dispute.

Methods

Gives details on how the experiment was set up and carried out.

Should explain well enough that **you could replicate** the experiment yourself, if you wanted to.

Methods:

We went to the local grocery store and bought one of every apple they had. We took them home and looked at them.

Often the hardest section to understand, since it contains specialized techniques. Skip this section until last.

When reading, skim and **try to pick out basic methods** used. Don't worry that much about the details – that's for grad school.

Ask you instructor or consult a scientific encyclopedia or textbook if you don't understand the concepts of the technique.

Results

Results:

We found four red apples, one green apple, and two yellow apples.



This section provides the data the authors use to reach their conclusions.

Figures are often included to **make the data more compact** and intuitive, and **Tables** organize data in one place for easier reading.

Understanding Figures and Tables is EXTREMELY important in understanding a paper.

For figures, make sure you understand what quantities are on the axes. Are they linear or logarithmic? What units are plotted?

Discussion/Analysis/Conclusion

(This section may be named any of these things)

This is where the author connects the dots – explaining what the data means, and why they support the conclusion.

Discussion:

Since we found one yellow apple and two green apples, it must be true that all apples are not red. We concur with G. Smith's findings.

Compare your own conclusions about the data with the authors' analysis.

When skimming the paper for the first time, after reading the abstract **read the concluding section**. It gives more detail on the specific results that were found, and **helps you determine whether the paper is relevant** to your research question.

References/Bibliography

Provides a list of resources quoted or referenced by the authors.

References:

Macintosh (1993) Journal of Fruit Science. 4(3): 121-135. Smith, G. (1999) Apple Technology Today. 7(3): 4-8.

Allows you to go back to those sources to see why the authors referenced that work, and whether those sources seem reliable and accurate.

Format of bibliography differs between journals. For other examples visit the <u>Purdue Online Writing Lab (OWL).</u>

Author. (Year) Journal Title. Volume(Issue): pages.

Article Information

Combined with the author/title information, it **enables you to create a citation for the article** – so you can tell other people where to find it.

Remember, if you use the data or concepts from this paper, **you must cite <u>it</u>** in your reports or publications. Failure to do that is

PLAGIARISM

Pomes and You, Volume 3, Issue 4 (2003) p.8

which could lead to failure of a course, expulsion from Purdue, and, after you leave school, legal or professional consequences.

It's always better to be safe, and cite all of your sources.


Questions to Ask before selecting a Journal

Which journals are used by you or mentors/colleagues?

- 1. Review the journals you use for your research.
- 2. Which journals do you use frequently to keep track of new developments in your field?
- 3. Which journals do you cite for your research?
- 4. Which journals are used by the main researchers in your area of research?
- 5. Does the professional organization you belong to publish any journals?
- 6. Also, check with your mentors and colleagues about journals they use—there may be some journals that are highly recommended for your area of research.
- 7. Select journals may be more prestigious for tenure and promotion for your academic and research institution.

Who is your desired audience?

Knowing the scope and aim of the journal can help assess whether your article will reach the intended audience.

If the target audience is international, select a journal with an international focus.

If the target audience is limited to a select area of research, select a journal with a narrow focus as opposed to one with a multidisciplinary focus.

Topic specific journals may disseminate your work more efficiently to your desired audience than a general science journal.

More specialized journals, even with a potentially smaller readership, may offer a broader dissemination of your work to your peers in a specific area of research.

Are you required to comply with public access mandates for sharing of publications and/or data?

Authors whose articles were generated as a result of research funded by organizations such as NIH, Autism Speaks, CDC, among others, are required to comply with public access mandates for sharing of publications and/or data.

Check the Instructions for Authors section of the journal website or the Copyright Transfer Agreement form to confirm the journal allows authors to comply with public access mandates.

Do you need to publish in a peer-reviewed journal?

Publication in peer-reviewed journals is a requirement for tenure and promotion at most academic institutions.

Peer review: is defined as an organized procedure carried out by a select committee of professionals in evaluating the performance of other professionals in meeting the standards of their specialty.



What is the quality of the peer-review process?

- ✓ Does the journal provide clear and transparent information about the peer review process?
- ✓ Is the review process described on the journal website?
- ✓ How are the reviewers selected?
- ✓ Are they qualified to serve as reviewers?
- ✓ How many reviewers will be assigned to a manuscript?
- ✓ How are revisions handled?

Is an expedited review process desired?

- Do you have a specific manuscript type in mind? Some journals publish specific types of articles and may not be appropriate for your research.
- ✓ What is the <u>aim and scope</u> of the journal? Is the journal appropriate for your research?
- What is the <u>reputation</u> of the journal? Is the journal published by a known publisher or professional organization?
- Does the journal provide information on research integrity and ethics for authors? Policies related to plagiarism, patient data, informed consent, duplicate publications, image integrity are noted by most journal publishers.
- ✓ Does the journal require reporting guidelines for reporting of research? Guidelines such as CONSORT, STROBE or PRISMA are examples of reporting guidelines. The purpose of standardized reporting guidelines is to ensure that readers can understand what was done, how and why.
- ✓ Does the journal follow best practices promoted by professional scholarly publishing organizations? Does the journal follow recommendations from professional scholarly publishing organizations such as the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE)?



• Elsevier Journal Finder:

Elsevier Journal Finder helps you find Elsevier journals that could be best suited for publishing your scientific article. The Journal Finder uses smart search technology and field-of-research specific vocabularies to match your article to Elsevier journals.

Link: <u>https://journalfinder.elsevier.com/</u>



• EndNote Match:

Find the Best Fit Journals for Your Manuscript. With a few key pieces of information—your title, abstract, and references—EndNote Match can help you find the right journal for your manuscript.

Link: https://endnote.com/product-details/manuscript-matcher/

• Journal/Author Name Estimator (JANE):

Have you recently written a paper, but you're not sure to which journal you should submit it? Or maybe you want to find relevant articles to cite in your paper? Or are **yn**u editor, and do you need to find reviewers for **p**articular paper? Jane can help!

Link: https://jane.biosemantics.org/



• Publish & Flourish Open Access:

FlourishOA is a resource for identifying highquality, high-value open access journals.

Link: http://flourishoa.org/



• Springer Journal Suggester:

Use the Springer Journal Selector to search for all Springer and BioMed Central journals to find a journal for your manuscript.

Link: https://journalsuggester.springer.com/



• Think. Check. Submit:

Think. Check. Submit. is a campaign to help researchers identify trusted journals for their research. It is a simple checklist researchers can use to assess the credentials of a journal or publisher.

Link: https://thinkchecksubmit.org/



Web of Science Master List:

The Web of Science Master list contains a list of approximately 24,000 journals indexed by the Web of Science platform. A manuscript matcher tool is also available.

Link: <u>https://mjl.clarivate.com/home</u>

Journal Listings

- AAMC Annotated Bibliography of Journals for Educational Scholarship 2019: The AAMC Annotated Bibliography provides scholars and researchers with detailed information about the topics, types of manuscripts, and audiences for more than 60 journals that publish health professions education manuscripts.
- <u>Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ)</u>: DOAJ contains more than 10,000 open access journals covering all areas of science, technology, medicine, social science and humanities. DOAJ is co-author to the Principles of Transparency and Best Practice in Scholarly Publishing (Principles), a list of criteria used for listing of journals and DOAJ members are expected to follow these principles as a condition of membership.

Journal Listings

- <u>Embase Journal List</u>: Embase provides 32 million+ records from almost 8,300 currently published journals and includes six million+ records and 2,900+ journals that are not covered by MEDLINE.
- Journals that Follow the ICMJE Recommendations: Consult this list for journals whose editors or publishers have contacted the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) to request listing as a journal that follows the ICMJE's Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals.

Journal Listings

- <u>NLM Catalog: Journals Currently Indexed by MEDLINE/PubMed</u>: This resource is a list of journals currently indexed in MEDLINE.
- <u>Scopus Journal List</u>: Check the Scopus list of indexed journals including those no longer indexed due due to publication concerns.
- <u>Web of Science Master Journal List</u>: The Master Journal List includes all journal titles covered in Web of Science.

2020 Latest Impact Factor (Clarivate Analytics Journal Citation Reports Release of JCR Thomson Reuters)

InCites Journal Citation Reports

Journal Data Filtered By: Selected JCR Year: 2019 Selected Editions: SCIE,SSCI Selected Category Scheme: WoS

Rank	Full Journal Title	Total Cites	Journal Impact Factor	Eigenfactor Score
1	CA-A CANCER JOURNAL FOR CLINICIANS	39,917	292.278	0.093460
2	NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL OF MEDICINE	347,451	74.699	0.660800
3	Nature Reviews Materials	12,657	71.189	0.052800
4	NATURE REVIEWS DRUG DISCOVERY	33,154	64.797	0.049170
5	LANCET	256,199	60.392	0.437300
6	WHO Technical Report Series	3,560	59.000	0.001200
7	NATURE REVIEWS MOLECULAR CELL BIOLOGY	46,307	55.470	0.082320
8	Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology	12,384	53.276	0.035980
9	NATURE REVIEWS CANCER	52,053	53.030	0.066030
10	CHEMICAL REVIEWS	200,014	52.758	0.271190
11	Nature Energy	17,747	46.49	
12	ASSOCIATION	158,632	45.54	Fil —
13	REVIEWS OF MODERN PHYSICS	51 122	45.037	0.051690

Scientific Databases

Scientific databases



へ



- In this Section we will know how to create a google scholar account (Online) and activate it and how to add his/her publications.
- ► A quick overview of ORCID account and how to create on €Online).
- ► How to register for a Scopus account
- (Online) Cliffking all these accounts to Our Academic staff profile (Online)

Reference

- How to Read a Scientific Paper by Michael Fosmire is Creative licensed under a Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.
- How to read a paper by S. Keshav. http://www.sigcomm.org/ccr/drupal/files/p83-keshavA.p df
 Reading scientific papers (at Purdue) http://www.lib.purdue.edu/phys/inst/scipaper.html
 General writing resources (at Purdue) http://owl.english.purdue.edu/
 Connotea – reference organizer http://www.connotea.org/
 Zotero – firefox extension reference manager
 http://www.zotero.org/
 Comparison of reference manager software tools available http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Comparison of reference management software re
- <u>https://beckerguides.wustl.edu/c.php?g=648201&p=4545487</u>

Reference

- Academics and scientists: Beware of predatory journal publishers
- Beware of Predatory Publishers: Substandard Journals Exploit Open-Access Model
- <u>Cope Discussion Document: Predatory Publishing</u>
- <u>Guidance to Help Inform Your Decision About a Journal</u>Learn more about selecting a journal for publication using guidance from Publons (https://publons.com/home/).
- How do you know a paper is legit?
- <u>Identifying predatory or pseudo-journals</u>This World Association of Medical Editors (WAME) document aims to provide guidance to help editors, researchers, funders, academic institutions and other stakeholders distinguish predatory journals from legitimate journals.
- Phony vs. Legit Publishing
- Predatory or Deceptive Publishers Recommendations for Caution
- Publishing tips from a journal editor: selecting the right journal
- Watch out for predatory journals, and consider retract/replace, suggests medical journal group
- What is a predatory journal? A scoping review
- <u>Why Organizations, Researchers & Patients Are Falling Prey to Predatory Journals</u>Presentation by Kelly Cobey.
- <u>Selecting a Journal for Publication: Criteria to Consider</u>Commentary on selecting a journal published in Missouri Medicine, November/December 2019.